



## PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/006,839	01/12/98	SIDIKMAN		P	C0464.093809	ı
		TM00/0500	コ	EXAMINER		
GEORGE T MARCOU				KAZIMI,H		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 800 700 13TH STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005				2164		1
WASHINGION	DC 20005			DATE MAILED:	05/08/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/006,839

Applicant(s)

Sidikman et al.

Examiner

Hani Kazimi

Art Unit 2164



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres			
Period	for Reply				
A SH THE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3 MONTH	H(S) FROM		
	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C iter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic		may a reply be timely filed		
- If the	e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days e considered timely.		n of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO	) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ommunication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6	6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this		
- Failui - Any	ommunication. re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b reply received by the Office later than three months after th irned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the application to bec e mailing date of this communication,	ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 28, 2	2001	·		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>19-37</u>	is/are	pending in the application.		
4	(a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ard	e withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>19-37</u>		is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	b)□ disapproved.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d).		
a)	All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.			
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have	• •			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list of th</li> </ol>	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		e).		
Attachme	ant/a)				
_	errys) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s).		
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
17) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:			

Art Unit: 2164

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is responsive to Applicant response filed on February 28, 2001. 1.

## Status of Claims

Of the original claims 1-18, claims 1-18 have been canceled without prejudice or 2. disclaimer in the amendment filed on January 12, 1998, and claims 22-41 have been added in the same amendment. However, since the original claims were 1-18, the added claims 22-41 have been renumbered as 19-38 according to 37 C.F.R. 1.126. In the amendment filed February 16, 2000, claims 19, and 38 have been amended. In the amendment filed August 8, 2000, claim 38 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Therefore, claims 19-37 are under prosecution in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 3. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- Claims 19-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) based upon a public use or sale of the 4.

3

Art Unit: 2164

invention as discussed in paragraphs 4& 5 of paper No. 16.

### Response to Arguments

5. In Applicant's response, the Applicant argues in substance that;

The cited Newswire article is not available as a reference because it is owned by the same assignee (Citibank) as indicated in 35 USC § 103(c).

In response; 103(c) states;

"103(c) Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under one or more of subsections (e), (f), and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person."

Applicant's attention is directed to the fact that claims 19-37 are rejected under 102(a). Note that this disqualification of 102(a) type art from the same assignee only holds under 103. If the article actually anticipates the claims and can be used under 102, then the rejection can still stands under 102(a) even if they are commonly assigned. Assignee can be taken into account only if the rejection moves to obviousness type. Also, MPEP 706.02(k) states that;

"Effective November 29, 1999, subject matter which was prior art under former 35 U.S.C. § 103 via 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) is now disqualified as prior art against the claimed invention if that subject matter and the claimed invention "were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same

Art Unit: 2164

person." This change to 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) applies to all utility, design and plant patent applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, including continuing applications filed under 37 CFR 1.53(b), continued prosecution applications filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d), and reissues. The amendment to 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) does not affect any application filed before November 29, 1999, a request for examination under 37 CFR 1.129 of such an application, nor a request for continued examination of such an application. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) for additional information regarding disqualified prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)/ 103."

Applicant's arguments with respect to the art rejection have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

#### Conclusion

- 6. This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 C.F.R. § 1.105. A complete response to this Office action must include a complete response to the attached requirement for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1061. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Art Unit: 2164

Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology

Center 2100 or this Art Unit is (703) 308-6296 or 6306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Respectfully Submitted

Hani.Kazimi

5

Art Unit 2164

April 24, 2001

Art Unit: 2164

Requirement for Information Under 37 C.F.R. 1.105

Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide

the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the

examination of this application.

The information is required to identify products and services embodying the disclosed

subject matter of obtaining securities information and transactions for a user at an automatic teller

machine. The prior art discloses that Applicants have been operating the system in a few states

since at least October, 1994. However, Applicant fails to disclose any information regarding the

system that may be material to the patentability of the disclosed invention. The Examiner reminds

Applicants that they must disclose documents and publications known to them that are relevant to

the subject matter of this application. In particular, copies of the first system that was

implemented in October, 1994.

In response to this requirement, please provide the citation and a copy of each publication

which any of the Applicants authored or co-authored and which describe the disclosed subject

matter of obtaining securities information and transactions for a user at an automatic teller

machine.

In response to this requirement, please provide the names of any products or services that

have incorporated the claimed subject matter and the disclosed prior art of obtaining securities

information and transactions for a user at an automatic teller machine.

The fee and certification requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.97 are waived for those documents

37 C.F.R. 1.97.

Art Unit: 2164

submitted in reply to this requirement. This waiver extends only to those documents within the scope of this requirement under 37 C.F.R. 1.105 that are included in the applicant's first complete communication responding to this requirement. Any supplemental replies subsequent to the first communication responding to this requirement and any information disclosures beyond the scope of this requirement under 37 C.F.R. 1.105 are subject to the fee and certification requirements of

In responding to those requirements that require copies of documents, where the document is a bound text or a single article over 50 pages, the requirement may be met by providing copies of those pages that provide the particular subject matter indicated in the requirement, or where such subject matter is not indicated, the subject matter found in Applicant's disclosure.

The Applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where the Applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained will be accepted as a complete response to the requirement for that item.

This requirement is an attachment of the enclosed Office action. A complete response to the enclosed Office action must include a complete response to this requirement. The time period for reply to this requirement coincides with the time period for reply to the enclosed Office action, which is THREE months.

> VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**